Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 215 (1981) C1–C5 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

## **Preliminary communication**

# THE ADDITION OF THE NORMANT REAGENT ("CH₃MgBr" + CuBr) TO TERMINAL ALKYNES IN THF. CONCERNING THE NATURE OF THE REACTIVE SPECIES IN SOLUTION

E.C. ASHBY\*, R. SCOTT SMITH and A.B. GOEL School of Chemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332 (U.S.A.) (Received March 18th, 1981)

#### Summary

٤

The reactive intermediates in the Normant reagent responsible for reaction with terminal alkynes in THF have been determined.

The stoichiometric mixture of Grignard reagent and cuprous salt is a versatile reagent for the stereoselective synthesis of trisubstituted alkenes from terminal alkynes (eq. 1) [1].



The importance of trisubstituted alkenes in the synthesis of isoprenoid natural products [2], and the ease with which they are formed by the transformation in eq. 1, makes an understanding of the nature of the Normant reagent highly desirable. We have now studied the solution composition of a mixture of "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr" and CuBr in THF to determine (1) what compounds are formed in this reaction mixture, (2) how much of each compound formed is present at a particular time under the conditions of addition to the terminal alkyne, and (3) which compounds actually add to the terminal alkyne.

Depending on the time and temperature of reaction, a mixture of " $CH_3MgBr$ " and CuBr in THF has been found to contain the following compounds;  $CuMg(CH_3)_3$ ,  $Cu_3Mg_2(CH_3)_7$ ,  $Cu_2Mg(CH_3)_4$ ,  $Cu_3Mg(CH_3)_5$ ,  $Cu_4Mg(CH_3)_6$  and  $Cu_6Mg(CH_3)_8$ . The characterization of these compounds and conditions under which they form in the reaction of " $CH_3MgBr$ " with CuBr is reported elsewhere [3]. This report will concentrate on the deter-

0022-328X/81/0000-0000/\$02.50, © 1981, Elsevier Sequoia S.A.

mination of the exact species in the Normant reagent responsible for addition to phenylacetylene (A), used as a model system.

Entry 1 (Table 1) shows that after 24 hours at  $-25^{\circ}$ C the mixture "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr" and CuBr (Normant reagent) gave upon hydrolysis a 36% yield of addition products [4] consisting of Ph(CH<sub>3</sub>)C=CH<sub>2</sub> (B<sub>1</sub>) and (E)-PhCH=CH-(CH<sub>3</sub>) (B<sub>2</sub>) in 2:1 ratio, respectively. CuMg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (entry 2), Cu<sub>3</sub>Mg<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>7</sub> (entry 3), and Cu<sub>2</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (entry 4) gave no addition products under similar conditions. Cu<sub>3</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub> (entry 5) and Cu<sub>4</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> (entry 6) gave small amounts of B<sub>1</sub> under the same conditions. Only Cu<sub>6</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8</sub> (entry 7) gave B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> in amounts and approximate ratio comparable to the mixture of "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr" and CuBr. Cu<sub>6</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8</sub> is only metastable at even -50°C and slowly decomposes to a mixture of solid methylcopper and Cu<sub>4</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>. Thus reactions of (A) with Cu<sub>6</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8</sub> had to be carried out by adding (A) to a -45°C solution of Cu<sub>6</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8</sub> after which the resultant mixture was allowed to warm to -30°C over a 15 minute period. The reaction was complete in two hours or less at -30°C.

As mentioned earlier, the composition of the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture is dependent on reaction time and temperature. The preparation of this reagent in the literature is usually carried out at or below  $-40^{\circ}C$  [1,4]. However, we have found that preparing this reagent at  $-40^{\circ}C$  for two hours or

### TABLE 1

REACTIONS OF COPPER MAGNESIUM ALKYLS WITH PHENYLACETYLENE IN TETRAHYDROFURAN<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Copper magnesium alkyl	PhC≡CH:MgBr <sub>2</sub> :Reagent <sup>b</sup>	Yield product <sup>c,d</sup> (%)	
			Ph	Ph H
			С=СН <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> C=C H CH <sub>3</sub>
			(B <sub>1</sub> )	(B <sub>2</sub> )
1	CuBr + CH <sub>3</sub> MgBr <sup>e</sup>		24	12
2	CuMgMe <sub>3</sub>	3:0.5:1	0	0
3	Cu <sub>3</sub> Mg <sub>2</sub> Me <sub>7</sub>	7:1;5:1	0	0
4	Cu <sub>2</sub> MgMe <sub>4</sub>	4:1:1	0	0
5	Cu <sub>3</sub> MgMe <sub>5</sub>	5:1.5:1	2.4	0
6	Cu <sub>4</sub> MgMe <sub>6</sub>	6:2:1	7.3	• 0
7	Cu <sub>6</sub> MgMe <sub>8</sub> <sup>f</sup>	8:3:1	20	8
8	CuMgMe <sub>3</sub>	3:5:1	0	0
9	CuMg <sub>2</sub> Me <sub>7</sub>	7:17:1	0	0
10	Cu <sub>2</sub> MgMe <sub>4</sub>	4:4:1	1.5	0
11	Cu <sub>3</sub> MgMe <sub>5</sub>	5:5:1	6.5	1.3
12	Cu <sub>4</sub> MgMe <sub>6</sub>	6:6:1	10	3
13	Cu <sub>6</sub> MgMe <sub>8</sub> g	8:8:1	35	13

<sup>a</sup> Reactions were carried out at  $-25^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. PhC=CH was added in 1:1 molar ratio per CH<sub>3</sub> group. <sup>b</sup> PhC=CH was added in 1:1 molar per CH<sub>3</sub>. <sup>c</sup> These were the only addition products obtained on hydrolysis with pH<sub>8</sub> NH<sub>4</sub> Cl unless otherwise noted. The remainder of the mass balance is accounted for by recovered phenylacetylene and in some cases by 1-2% of PhC=CCH<sub>3</sub>. <sup>d</sup> Normalized as % B<sub>1</sub> + % B<sub>2</sub> + % PhC=CH = 100%. <sup>e</sup> CuBr + CH<sub>3</sub> MgBr in 1:1 ratio was stirred for either 2 h at -40°C or 1 h. at -25°C before PhC=CH was added. In either case the reaction was maintained at -25°C after the PhC=CH was added. <sup>f</sup> See text for reaction conditions. <sup>g</sup> 1% of (Z)-Ph(CH<sub>3</sub>)C=CH(CH<sub>3</sub>) was also obtained. at  $-25^{\circ}$ C for one hour gives the same yield and distribution of addition products with (A). The mixture, "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr, does contain more free MgBr<sub>2</sub> at  $-25^{\circ}$ C after one hour than do the reactions in entries 2–7. The addition of MgBr<sub>2</sub> to the reactions of CuMg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (entry 8) and Cu<sub>3</sub>Mg<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>7</sub> (entry 9) again gave no addition product. However, increasing the amount of MgBr<sub>2</sub> in the reaction of Cu<sub>2</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (entry 10) produced a small yield of B<sub>1</sub>. Added MgBr<sub>2</sub> increased the yields of addition of Cu<sub>3</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub> (entry 11) and Cu<sub>4</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> (entry 12) significantly, producing both B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> as products. MgBr<sub>2</sub> added to Cu<sub>6</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8</sub> (entry 13) yielded more addition product than the mixture of "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr" and CuBr and produced B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> in a ratio of 3:1. The effect of MgBr<sub>2</sub> on the reaction is difficult to rationalize since infrared analysis of a mixture of MgBr<sub>2</sub> and (A) in THF shows no interaction and MgBr<sub>2</sub> does not appear to interact with any of the copper-magnesium compounds according to NMR analysis.

It appears that only  $Cu_4 Mg(CH_3)_6$  and  $Cu_6 Mg(CH_3)_8$  are likely candidates as the active component(s) of the mixture of "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr" and CuBr. The composition of the mixture (Normant reagent) after one hour at  $-25^{\circ}C$  consists of a solid containing 65% of the starting copper as a 4:1 mixture of methylcopper and cuprous bromide and a solution composed of a 6:1 mixture of  $Cu_4 Mg(CH_3)_6$  and  $Cu_6 Mg(CH_3)_8$ . While  $Cu_6 Mg(CH_3)_8$  gives a higher yield of addition products with (A) than does  $Cu_4 Mg(CH_3)_6$ , the amount of  $Cu_6 Mg(CH_3)_8$  in the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture is smaller [3], Figure 1 shows a plot of % yield of total addition product from A by  $Cu_6 Mg(CH_3)_8 + 3MgBr_2$ ,  $Cu_4 Mg(CH_3)_6 + 6MgBr_2$  and the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture at  $-40^{\circ}C$  in THF vs. time. The rate of addition by  $Cu_6 Mg(CH_3)_8 + 8MgBr_2$  is significantly faster overall than the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture, but only slightly faster than  $Cu_4 Mg(CH_3)_6 + 6MgBr_2$ . The rate of the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture increases with time indicating an increase in concentration of the reactive intermediate as the reaction proceeds.  $Cu_4 Mg(CH_3)_8$  gives more addition



Fig. 1. Reaction of  $Cu_6 MgMe_8 + 8MgBr_2$  ( $\bullet$ ),  $Cu_4 MgMe_6 + 6MgBr_2$  ( $\bullet$ ) and "CH<sub>3</sub> MgBr"-CuBr ( $\bullet$ ) with phenylacetylene in THF at --40°C. The reactions were 0.1 *M* in phenylacetylene and the CH<sub>3</sub>:PhC=CH ratio was 1:1.

product at  $-40^{\circ}$ C than at  $-25^{\circ}$ C, but the rate of addition falls off at a time when the rate of the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture is increasing. These kinetic results can be attributed to a significant contribution by the more abundant Cu<sub>4</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> in the early stages of addition by the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"—CuBr mixture As the reaction proceeds the concentration of Cu<sub>6</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8</sub> in the mixture increases and the rate of addition increases. One alternative possibility is that a mixed methyl-styryl compound with the formula Cu<sub>6</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8-X</sub>(HC=C-(CH<sub>3</sub>)Ph)<sub>X</sub> or Cu<sub>6</sub>Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8-X</sub>[C(Ph)=C(CH<sub>3</sub>)H]<sub>X</sub> forms and is responsible for the increased rate of addition by the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr-CuBr mixture.

The stereochemistry of the addition reactions by  $Cu_4Mg(CH_3)_6$  and  $Cu_6Mg(CH_3)_8$  were determined to be exclusively cis. Deuterolysis of the reaction mixtures gave only (E)-Ph(CH<sub>3</sub>)C=C(H)D and no (Z)-HC(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CDPh was observed by either <sup>1</sup>H NMR or GLC. Exclusive *cis* addition by "RMgX"-CuX mixtures to terminal alkynes is well documented [1,4]. The appearance of  $B_2$  in the reactions of  $Cu_4Mg(CH_3)_6$  +6MgBr<sub>2</sub>,  $Cu_6Mg(CH_3)_8$  +8MgBr<sub>2</sub> (or  $3MgBr_2$ ) and the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture is unusual since only the more sterically hindered alkyl groups, e.g., isopropyl and tert-butyl, have been previously observed to give addition to the terminal end of a terminal alkyne. Kinetic analysis demonstrated that the ratio of B<sub>1</sub> to B<sub>2</sub> decreased as the reactions proceeded indicating that  $B_2$  may arise by reaction of A with a mixed methyl styryl compound [6]. This would also explain the difference in the  $B_1:B_2$  ratios observed for reactions of  $Cu_4Mg(CH_3)_6$  +6MgBr<sub>2</sub>,  $Cu_6Mg(CH_3)_8$  + 8MgBr<sub>2</sub> and the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture with A. The former two are present in high concentration vs. any mixed methyl-styryl compound that forms as the reaction proceeds giving rise to a higher  $B_1$  to  $B_2$  ratio. However, the concentration of  $Cu_4Mg(CH_3)_6$  and  $Cu_6Mg(CH_3)_8$  in the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture is initially small and would allow more  $B_2$  to be formed.

It seems clear from these studies that a mixture of  $Cu_4Mg(CH_3)_6$  and  $Cu_6Mg(CH_3)_8$  in the presence of MgBr<sub>2</sub> is the reactive intermediate when the Normant reagent is allowed to react with a terminal alkyne. Other Grignard reagent-cuprous halide mixtures react with terminal alkynes to give higher yields of addition product at faster rates than does the "CH<sub>3</sub>MgBr"-CuBr mixture in THF. We are now actively pursuing further studies with other Grignard reagents in an attempt to broaden the scope of our results.

ţ

Although reactions of Normant reagents with alkynes proceed in higher yields when the R group of the Normant reagent is other than methyl, the present study was carried out for the reagent where  $R = CH_3$  (singlet) due to the extreme complexity of the NMR system when the R group was other than methyl. We plan to extend our studies in the near future to include R groups other than methyl.

## References

- 1 J.F. Normant, G. Cahiez and C. Chuit, J. Organometal. Chem., 77 (1974) 269.
- A. Marfat, P.R. McGuirk and P. Helquist, J. Org. Chem., 44 (1979) 3888.
- 2 For example: geranoil, foresylacetone, grifolin and caulerpol.
- 3 E.C. Ashby, A.B. Goel and R. Scott Smith, J. Organometal. Chem., 212 (1981) C47.

- 5. L. C. ASNOY, A.B. Goel and K. Scott Smith, J. Organometal. Chem., 212 (1981) C47.
  4 It has been previously reported that "CH<sub>3</sub> MgBr"-CuBr does not add to PhC≡CH in THF. H. Westmijze J. Meijer, H.J.T. Box and P. Vemeer, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 95 (1976) 299.
  5 H. Westmijze, J. Meijer, H.J.T. Box and P. Vemeer, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 95 (1976) 304.
  6 Another possibility is that compounds of the type Cu<sub>4</sub> Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6-X</sub>(C≡CPh)<sub>X</sub>, Cu<sub>6</sub> Mg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8-X</sub> (C≡CPh)<sub>X</sub> from deprotonation of PhC≡CH are responsible for the formation of B<sub>2</sub>. Deprotonation of PhC≡CH are responsible for the formation of B<sub>2</sub>. Deprotonation of PhC=CH was a serious side reaction and gave PhC=CH on hydrolysis.